



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/842,297 04/25/2001 Jose A. Sobrado 020431.0772 7102 7590 08/13/2004 **EXAMINER** Christopher W. Kennerly, Esq. MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT Baker Botts L.L.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 6th Floor 2001 Ross Avenue 3671 Dallas, TX 75201-2980 DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/842,297	SOBRADO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan S Mammen	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this a	application. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)	)]
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date s later than SIX MONTHS from the	e mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the correspondiing it is shortened statutory period folice later than three months after the contract of the	ng amount of the fee. The appropriate extension or reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismi	the period set forth in ssal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	below);	
<ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number	er of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been	considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered ould be rejected is provided	d or b)⊡ will be entered and an d below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied	roved or b) disapproved	d by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		•
10. Other:	· / - / - / - / - / - / - / - / - / - /	1. 11
		ROBERT E PEZZUTO PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments significantly changes the scope of the claims and thus requires further consideration and search. Since the proposed claims are materially different than the claims previously examined, the proposed amendment will not be entered on appeal.